

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,236	03/01/2000	William A. Aiello	1999-0053	3274
75	90 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Samuel H Dworetsky			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
AT&T Corp P O Box 4110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Middletown, NJ 07748-4110			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicantia			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/516,236	AIELLO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Y. Jung	2134			
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ag	oril 2005.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims,					
5) 2 6 6) \(\sum 7) \(\sum 6	Claim(s) // s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-15,18-20,22 and 34-39 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application	on Papers					
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🏾	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🗌 T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[_ :	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	(e)					
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

As noted in the Response from applicant, the claims corrected a few minor typographical errors. No change has been made to overcome the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered and they are persuasive in some matters but they are not persuasive not persuasive in other matters. Due to considerations of proper equity and proper procedure, the finality of the previous Office Action is withdrawn. Instead, this present Office Action is made final.

The issue, according to Applicant, is whether the prior art teaches "authenticating a provisioning server" in the context of other limitations of the claims. Why would one authenticate a provisioning server? One may note that a provisioning server is critical to the user. After all, the provisioning server may handle monetary and identity and service issues. That a critical server be authenticated by a user is well known in the art for the motivation of security. On many services, a user has an account. This account needs to be authenticated by a user by authenticating the server for security purposes such as to prevent fake servers from gaining the user's information. This is common. This is inherent to any critical server situation. Is a provisioning server a critical server? For the reasons noted in the previous sentences of this paragraph, a provisioning server is a critical server.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

Thus, the rejections of the previous Office Action are deemed to be correct.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The prior art rejections can be found in the earlier Office Action.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Points of Contact

Art Unit: 2134

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

Art Unit: 2134

David Jung

Patent Examiner

6/21/05